

# Gauge duality for parameters of highly regular graphs

Henrique Assumpção

Joint work with Gabriel Coutinho and Chris Godsil

Federal University of Minas Gerais

*henriquesoares@dcc.ufmg.br*

ILAS 2026

Session: Spectral Graph Theory

May 23, 2026

# Summary

- 1 Gauge Duality
- 2 FCC & MAX 2-SAT
- 3 Total Conformal Rigidity

# Gauge Duality

A function  $g : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a *gauge* if

A function  $g : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a *gauge* if

- 1  $g$  is *positive semidefinite*, meaning that  $g(w) \geq 0$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  and  $g(0) = 0$ .

A function  $g : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a *gauge* if

- 1  $g$  is *positive semidefinite*, meaning that  $g(w) \geq 0$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  and  $g(0) = 0$ .
- 2  $g$  is *positively homogeneous*, meaning that  $g(\alpha w) = \alpha g(w)$  for all  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $w \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

A function  $g : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a *gauge* if

- 1  $g$  is *positive semidefinite*, meaning that  $g(w) \geq 0$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  and  $g(0) = 0$ .
- 2  $g$  is *positively homogeneous*, meaning that  $g(\alpha w) = \alpha g(w)$  for all  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $w \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .
- 3  $g$  is *subadditive*, meaning that  $g(w + w') \leq g(w) + g(w')$  for all  $w, w' \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

A function  $g : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a *gauge* if

- 1  $g$  is *positive semidefinite*, meaning that  $g(w) \geq 0$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  and  $g(0) = 0$ .
- 2  $g$  is *positively homogeneous*, meaning that  $g(\alpha w) = \alpha g(w)$  for all  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $w \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .
- 3  $g$  is *subadditive*, meaning that  $g(w + w') \leq g(w) + g(w')$  for all  $w, w' \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

If  $g(w) = 0$  implies  $w = 0$ , then  $g$  is *positive definite*, in which case a gauge is a norm in the nonnegative orthant. A gauge is called *monotone* if  $g(w) \leq g(w')$  whenever  $w \leq w'$  coordinate-wise.

# Duality

A positive definite monotone gauge  $g$  admits a dual gauge, defined as

$$\begin{aligned}g^\circ(w) &= \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \{w^T y : g(y) \leq 1\} \\ &= \min_{\lambda \geq 0} \{\lambda : w^T y \leq \lambda g(y) \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n\}.\end{aligned}$$

# Duality

A positive definite monotone gauge  $g$  admits a dual gauge, defined as

$$\begin{aligned}g^\circ(w) &= \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \{w^T y : g(y) \leq 1\} \\ &= \min_{\lambda \geq 0} \{\lambda : w^T y \leq \lambda g(y) \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n\}.\end{aligned}$$

An immediate consequence is that, for all  $w, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,

$$w^T y \leq g(w)g^\circ(y). \tag{1}$$

# Duality

A positive definite monotone gauge  $g$  admits a dual gauge, defined as

$$\begin{aligned}g^\circ(w) &= \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \{w^T y : g(y) \leq 1\} \\ &= \min_{\lambda \geq 0} \{\lambda : w^T y \leq \lambda g(y) \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n\}.\end{aligned}$$

An immediate consequence is that, for all  $w, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,

$$w^T y \leq g(w)g^\circ(y). \tag{1}$$

If  $g, g^\circ$  are graph parameters, we will be interested in the following question: **for which graphs is the previous inequality an equality?**

# Examples

Some examples of graph parameters that form primal-dual pairs of gauges:

# Examples

Some examples of graph parameters that form primal-dual pairs of gauges:

- $\alpha$  and  $\chi_f$

# Examples

Some examples of graph parameters that form primal-dual pairs of gauges:

- $\alpha$  and  $\chi_f$
- mc and fcc

# Examples

Some examples of graph parameters that form primal-dual pairs of gauges:

- $\alpha$  and  $\chi_f$
- mc and fcc
- $\vartheta$  and  $\overline{\vartheta}$

# Examples

Some examples of graph parameters that form primal-dual pairs of gauges:

- $\alpha$  and  $\chi_f$
- mc and fcc
- $\vartheta$  and  $\overline{\vartheta}$
- $\vartheta^+$  and  $\overline{\vartheta^-}$

# Examples

Some examples of graph parameters that form primal-dual pairs of gauges:

- $\alpha$  and  $\chi_f$
- mc and fcc
- $\vartheta$  and  $\overline{\vartheta}$
- $\vartheta^+$  and  $\overline{\vartheta^-}$
- $\eta$  and  $\eta^\circ$

# Examples

Some examples of graph parameters that form primal-dual pairs of gauges:

- $\alpha$  and  $\chi_f$
- mc and fcc
- $\vartheta$  and  $\overline{\vartheta}$
- $\vartheta^+$  and  $\overline{\vartheta^-}$
- $\eta$  and  $\eta^\circ$
- $S_k$  and  $S_k^\circ$

# Examples

Some examples of graph parameters that form primal-dual pairs of gauges:

- $\alpha$  and  $\chi_f$
- mc and fcc
- $\vartheta$  and  $\overline{\vartheta}$
- $\vartheta^+$  and  $\overline{\vartheta^-}$
- $\eta$  and  $\eta^\circ$
- $S_k$  and  $S_k^\circ$

**We will be interested in graphs  $G = (V, E)$  such that:**

$$\eta(G)\eta^\circ(G) = |E| \quad \text{or} \quad S_k(G)S_k^\circ(G) = |V|$$

# FCC & MAX 2-SAT

Goemans and Williamson [GW95] famously shown that if we define

$$\eta(G, w) := \max \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \langle \mathcal{L}(w), M \rangle : M \succeq 0, \text{diag}(M) = \mathbb{1} \right\},$$

Goemans and Williamson [GW95] famously shown that if we define

$$\eta(G, w) := \max \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \langle \mathcal{L}(w), M \rangle : M \succeq 0, \text{diag}(M) = \mathbb{1} \right\},$$

then one can construct an  $\alpha_{GW} \approx 0.878$  approximation algorithm for  $\text{mc}(G, w)$  such that

$$\alpha_{GW} \leq \frac{\eta(G, w)}{\text{mc}(G, w)} \leq 1.$$

The gauge dual  $\eta^\circ(G, z)$  is given by

$$\eta^\circ(G, z) := \min\{\mu : \mu \geq 0, N \succcurlyeq 0, \text{diag}(N) = \mu \cdot \mathbb{1}, \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{L}^*(N) \geq z\}$$

The gauge dual  $\eta^\circ(G, z)$  is given by

$$\eta^\circ(G, z) := \min\{\mu : \mu \geq 0, N \succcurlyeq 0, \text{diag}(N) = \mu \cdot \mathbb{1}, \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{L}^*(N) \geq z\}$$

It was recently shown [PdCSST25] that one can construct an  $1/\alpha_{GW}$ -approximation algorithm for  $\text{fcc}(G, z)$  such that

$$1 \leq \frac{\eta^\circ(G, z)}{\text{fcc}(G, z)} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_{GW}}.$$

## Equality cases

- Which graphs have  $mc(G)fcc(G) = |E|$ ?

## Equality cases

- Which graphs have  $mc(G)fcc(G) = |E|$ ?  
Known for edge-transitive graphs.

## Equality cases

- Which graphs have  $mc(G)fcc(G) = |E|$ ?  
Known for edge-transitive graphs.
- Which graphs have  $\eta(G)\eta^\circ(G) = |E|$ ?

## Equality cases

- Which graphs have  $mc(G)fcc(G) = |E|$ ?  
Known for edge-transitive graphs.
- Which graphs have  $\eta(G)\eta^\circ(G) = |E|$ ?  
Also holds for edge-transitive.

# Equality cases

- Which graphs have  $mc(G)fcc(G) = |E|$ ?  
Known for edge-transitive graphs.
- Which graphs have  $\eta(G)\eta^\circ(G) = |E|$ ?  
Also holds for edge-transitive.

## Theorem 1 (A., Coutinho [AC26])

*If  $G$  is a graph that is 1-walk-regular or whose adjacency matrix either belongs to or splits in a coherent configuration  $\mathcal{C}$ , that is, either  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  or  $A = A_i + A_i^T$  for some  $A_i \in \mathcal{C}$ , then*

$$\eta(G)\eta^\circ(G) = |E|.$$

*In particular, this includes all edge-transitive and distance-regular graphs.*

# Association schemes

- If  $G$  is  $k$ -regular, it is known that

$$\eta(G) \leq \frac{|V|}{4}(k - \lambda_{\min}(A)) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta^\circ(G) \geq \frac{2k}{k - \lambda_{\min}(A)}.$$

# Association schemes

- If  $G$  is  $k$ -regular, it is known that

$$\eta(G) \leq \frac{|V|}{4}(k - \lambda_{\min}(A)) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta^\circ(G) \geq \frac{2k}{k - \lambda_{\min}(A)}.$$

- Equality holds for  $\eta(G)$  if  $G$  belongs to an association scheme [GR99]. This gives a spectral bound to  $\text{mc}(G)$ .

# Association schemes

- If  $G$  is  $k$ -regular, it is known that

$$\eta(G) \leq \frac{|V|}{4}(k - \lambda_{\min}(A)) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta^\circ(G) \geq \frac{2k}{k - \lambda_{\min}(A)}.$$

- Equality holds for  $\eta(G)$  if  $G$  belongs to an association scheme [GR99]. This gives a spectral bound to  $\text{mc}(G)$ .
- One can also show that the same holds for  $\eta^\circ(G)$  [AC26], which gives a spectral bound to  $\text{fcc}(G)$ .

# Association schemes

- If  $G$  is  $k$ -regular, it is known that

$$\eta(G) \leq \frac{|V|}{4}(k - \lambda_{\min}(A)) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta^\circ(G) \geq \frac{2k}{k - \lambda_{\min}(A)}.$$

- Equality holds for  $\eta(G)$  if  $G$  belongs to an association scheme [GR99]. This gives a spectral bound to  $\text{mc}(G)$ .
- One can also show that the same holds for  $\eta^\circ(G)$  [AC26], which gives a spectral bound to  $\text{fcc}(G)$ .
- Spectral bounds can also be obtained for MAX 2-SAT for graphs in association schemes (and in particular distance-regular graphs) by analyzing SDPs used to approximate generalizations of  $\text{mc}(G)$  [AC26].

# Total Conformal Rigidity

# Conformal Rigidity

- Let  $S_k(w)$  be the sum of the  $k$ -largest eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}(w)$  ( $0 = \lambda_1(w) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(w)$ ). We also let  $s_k(w)$  denote the sum of the  $k$  smallest nontrivial eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}(w)$ .

# Conformal Rigidity

- Let  $S_k(w)$  be the sum of the  $k$ -largest eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}(w)$  ( $0 = \lambda_1(w) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(w)$ ). We also let  $s_k(w)$  denote the sum of the  $k$  smallest nontrivial eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}(w)$ .
- **Which graphs satisfy  $S_k(\mathbb{1})S_k^\circ(\mathbb{1}) = |E|$ ?**

# Conformal Rigidity

- Let  $S_k(w)$  be the sum of the  $k$ -largest eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}(w)$  ( $0 = \lambda_1(w) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(w)$ ). We also let  $s_k(w)$  denote the sum of the  $k$  smallest nontrivial eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}(w)$ .
- **Which graphs satisfy  $S_k(\mathbb{1})S_k^\circ(\mathbb{1}) = |E|$ ?**
- $G$  is *conformally rigid* if  $\lambda_2(w) \leq \lambda_2(\mathbb{1}) \leq \lambda_n(\mathbb{1}) \leq \lambda_n(w')$ , for all  $w, w' \in \mathbb{R}_+^E, \mathbb{1}^T w = \mathbb{1}^T w' = |E|$  [ST25].

# Conformal Rigidity

- Let  $S_k(w)$  be the sum of the  $k$ -largest eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}(w)$  ( $0 = \lambda_1(w) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(w)$ ). We also let  $s_k(w)$  denote the sum of the  $k$  smallest nontrivial eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}(w)$ .
- **Which graphs satisfy**  $S_k(\mathbb{1})S_k^\circ(\mathbb{1}) = |E|$ ?
- $G$  is *conformally rigid* if  $\lambda_2(w) \leq \lambda_2(\mathbb{1}) \leq \lambda_n(\mathbb{1}) \leq \lambda_n(w')$ , for all  $w, w' \in \mathbb{R}_+^E, \mathbb{1}^T w = \mathbb{1}^T w' = |E|$  [ST25].
- All edge-transitive and 1-walk-regular graphs are conformally rigid [GST25].

# Conformal Rigidity

- Let  $S_k(w)$  be the sum of the  $k$ -largest eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}(w)$  ( $0 = \lambda_1(w) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(w)$ ). We also let  $s_k(w)$  denote the sum of the  $k$  smallest nontrivial eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}(w)$ .
- **Which graphs satisfy  $S_k(\mathbb{1})S_k^\circ(\mathbb{1}) = |E|$ ?**
- $G$  is *conformally rigid* if  $\lambda_2(w) \leq \lambda_2(\mathbb{1}) \leq \lambda_n(\mathbb{1}) \leq \lambda_n(w')$ , for all  $w, w' \in \mathbb{R}_+^E, \mathbb{1}^T w = \mathbb{1}^T w' = |E|$  [ST25].
- All edge-transitive and 1-walk-regular graphs are conformally rigid [GST25].
- We say that  $G$  is *totally conformally rigid* if  $s_k(w) \leq s_k(\mathbb{1}) \leq S_k(\mathbb{1}) \leq S_k(w')$  for all  $k$ .

# Laplacian Cospectrality

- A famous paper by Godsil and McKay [GM80] shows that walk-regular graphs are precisely the ones such that all pairs of vertices are cospectral.

# Laplacian Cospectrality

- A famous paper by Godsil and McKay [GM80] shows that walk-regular graphs are precisely the ones such that all pairs of vertices are cospectral.
- A natural generalization of vertex cospectrality to edges is as follows: we say that  $ab, cd \in E$  are cospectral if  $L - L_{ab}$  and  $L - L_{cd}$  are cospectral, where  $L_{ab} = (e_a - e_b)(e_a - e_b)^T$ .

# Laplacian Cospectrality

- A famous paper by Godsil and McKay [GM80] shows that walk-regular graphs are precisely the ones such that all pairs of vertices are cospectral.
- A natural generalization of vertex cospectrality to edges is as follows: we say that  $ab, cd \in E$  are cospectral if  $L - L_{ab}$  and  $L - L_{cd}$  are cospectral, where  $L_{ab} = (e_a - e_b)(e_a - e_b)^T$ .

## Theorem 2 (A., Coutinho, Godsil [ACG26])

*Let  $G$  be an undirected, simple, connected graph. Then  $G$  is totally conformally rigid if, and only if, all edges of  $G$  are pairwise cospectral.*

# Walk-regularity

- Recall that a graph is said to be *walk-regular* if, for any  $l \geq 0$ , the number of closed walks of length  $l$  centered at an vertex  $a \in V$  is a constant that only depends on  $l$ .

# Walk-regularity

- Recall that a graph is said to be *walk-regular* if, for any  $l \geq 0$ , the number of closed walks of length  $l$  centered at a vertex  $a \in V$  is a constant that only depends on  $l$ .
- $G$  is further said to be 1-walk-regular if it is walk-regular and if for any  $l \geq 0$ , and for any  $ab \in E$ , the number of walks of length  $l$  between  $a$  and  $b$  is a constant that only depends on  $l$ .

# Walk-regularity

- Recall that a graph is said to be *walk-regular* if, for any  $l \geq 0$ , the number of closed walks of length  $l$  centered at an vertex  $a \in V$  is a constant that only depends on  $l$ .
- $G$  is further said to be 1-walk-regular if it is walk-regular and if for any  $l \geq 0$ , and for any  $ab \in E$ , the number of walks of length  $l$  between  $a$  and  $b$  is a constant that only depends on  $l$ .
- $G$  is said to be 1-walk-biregular if it is biregular bipartite, and the aforementioned constants also depend on which partition of  $V$  the vertices are located.

# Walk-regularity

- Recall that a graph is said to be *walk-regular* if, for any  $l \geq 0$ , the number of closed walks of length  $l$  centered at a vertex  $a \in V$  is a constant that only depends on  $l$ .
- $G$  is further said to be *1-walk-regular* if it is walk-regular and if for any  $l \geq 0$ , and for any  $ab \in E$ , the number of walks of length  $l$  between  $a$  and  $b$  is a constant that only depends on  $l$ .
- $G$  is said to be *1-walk-biregular* if it is biregular bipartite, and the aforementioned constants also depend on which partition of  $V$  the vertices are located.

## Theorem 3 (A., Coutinho, Godsil [ACG26])

*A graph  $G$  is totally conformally rigid if, and only if, it is either 1-walk-regular or 1-walk-biregular.*

## Further characterizations

- $S_k(w)$  is a positive definite monotone gauge, and one can easily define its gauge dual  $S_k^\circ(z)$ . It can be shown that  $S_k(\mathbb{1})S_k^\circ(\mathbb{1}) = |E|$  if, and only if,  $G$  is totally conformally rigid.

## Further characterizations

- $S_k(w)$  is a positive definite monotone gauge, and one can easily define its gauge dual  $S_k^\circ(z)$ . It can be shown that  $S_k(\mathbb{1})S_k^\circ(\mathbb{1}) = |E|$  if, and only if,  $G$  is totally conformally rigid.
- We can also characterize total conformal rigidity in terms of embeddings: the condition is equivalent to all canonical spectral embeddings onto the eigenspaces of  $L$  being edge-isometric.

## Further characterizations

- $S_k(w)$  is a positive definite monotone gauge, and one can easily define its gauge dual  $S_k^\circ(z)$ . It can be shown that  $S_k(\mathbb{1})S_k^\circ(\mathbb{1}) = |E|$  if, and only if,  $G$  is totally conformally rigid.
- We can also characterize total conformal rigidity in terms of embeddings: the condition is equivalent to all canonical spectral embeddings onto the eigenspaces of  $L$  being edge-isometric.
- Lastly, we can also show that this is equivalent to the following: for any orientation of  $G$ , the corresponding signed line graph is walk-regular.

# Full characterization





## Theorem 4 (A., Coutinho, Godsil [ACG26])

*For a graph  $G$ , the following are equivalent:*





- 1** *All edges of  $G$  are pairwise Laplacian-cospectral.*
- 2**  *$G$  is edge-rigid.*
- 3**  *$G$  is totally conformally rigid.*
- 4** *For every Laplacian eigenprojector  $E_i$ , the vector  $\mathcal{L}^*(E_i)$  is constant.*
- 5** *For any orientation of the edges of  $G$ , the corresponding signed line graph of  $G$  is walk-regular.*
- 6**  *$G$  is either 1-walk-regular or 1-walk-biregular.*
- 7** *For all  $k \in [n - 1]$ ,*

$$S_k(\mathbb{1})S_k^\circ(\mathbb{1}) = |E|.$$



# References I

-  Henrique Assumpção and Gabriel Coutinho, *Semidefinite programming bounds on fractional cut-cover and maximum 2-sat for highly regular graphs*, 2026.
-  Henrique Assumpção, Gabriel Coutinho, and Chris Godsil, *Total conformal rigidity in graphs*, 2026.
-  Marcel K. de Carli Silva, Gabriel Coutinho, Chris Godsil, and David E. Roberson, *Algebras, graphs and thetas*, Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science **346** (2019), 275–283.
-  C. Godsil and B. D. McKay, *Feasibility conditions for the existence of walk-regular graphs*, Linear Algebra Appl. **30** (1980), 51–61 (en).

## References II

-  M. X. Goemans and F. Rendl, *Semidefinite programs and association schemes*, Computing **63** (1999), no. 4, 331–340.
-  João Gouveia, Stefan Steinerberger, and Rekha R. Thomas, *Conformal rigidity and spectral embeddings of graphs*, 2025.
-  M. X. Goemans and D. P. Williamson, *Improved approximation algorithms for maximum cut and satisfiability problems using semidefinite programming*, J. ACM **42** (1995), no. 6, 1115–1145.
-  Nathan Benedetto Proença, Marcel K. de Carli Silva, and Gabriel Coutinho, *Dual hoffman bounds for the stability and chromatic numbers based on sdp*, 2020.

## References III

-  Nathan Benedetto Proença, Marcel K. de Carli Silva, Cristiane M. Sato, and Levent Tunçel, *A primal-dual extension of the goemans–williamson algorithm for the weighted fractional cut-covering problem*, 2025.
-  Stefan Steinerberger and Rekha R. Thomas, *Conformally rigid graphs*, 2025.

# Questions?

henriquesoares@dcc.ufmg.br